L MEDGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/524,358	03/14/2000	Tateo Oishi	450100-02402	8951
	7590 11/02/2007 AWRENCE & HAUG		EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AV	ENUE- 10TH FL.		NALVEN, ANDREW L	
NEW YORK, I	NY 10151		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/524,358	OISHI ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Andrew L. Nalven	2134	
The MA	ILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress
	28 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE TH	•	<u>-</u>	
this application places the applaces the application are detected as the control of the control	filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on, applicant must timely file one of the folloplication in condition for allowance; (2) a N Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar	owing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the owith 37 CFR 1.114. The reply market	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) 🛛 The period	d for reply expiresmonths from the mailing for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this nowever, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner I	Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or NTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	•	
Extensions of time manave been filed is the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) set forth in (b) above,	ay be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat date for purposes of determining the period of e is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the if checked. Any reply received by the Office lated patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(I	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee: The appropriationally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
filing the Notice	Appeal was filed on A brief in comce of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extoppeal has been filed, any reply must be file	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
(a) They ra (b) They ra (c) They ar	d amendment(s) filed after a final rejection ise new issues that would require further c ise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel e not deemed to place the application in be ; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO low);	OTE below);	
(d) 🔲 They pr	resent additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
4. 🔲 The amendm	: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a) nents are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	eply has overcome the following rejection(seed or amended claim(s) would be a claim(s)	The second secon	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes how the new The status of Claim(s) allov	of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a or amended claims would be rejected is pr the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) reject Claim(s) with AFFIDAVIT OR OT	cted: drawn from consideration: HER EVIDENCE		·	
because appl was not earlie	or other evidence filed after a final action, b licant failed to provide a showing of good a er presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
entered beca showing a go	or other evidence filed after the date of filin use the affidavit or other evidence failed to od and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appearry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
	t or other evidence is entered. An explanati ECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.

KAMBIZ ZAND
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: _____.

See Continuation Sheet.

11. 🗵 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that the combination of Yoshiura and Keith fail to teach "the first data length is a data length of an integer multiple, greater than one, of the second data length. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Yoshiura teaches a first data length and a second data length which is an integer multiple (Yoshiura, column 4 lines 48-49) where the integer multiple is 1. In other words, Yoshiura takes data of a particular size and encrypts it to form a compressed block that is of a particular size. Yoshiura does not specifically disclose that the ratio is of an integer multiple greater than 1. Hence, Examiner cited Keith who teaches that a ratio of 2 may be used as the compression ratio in taking a plaintext block and compressing into a compressed block. As a result, Examiner maintains that the combination of Yoshiura and Keith teach all of the limitations of the presented claims.